# Digital Technology and Culture 375 Washington State University, spring 2009

Group project, peer evaluation form for: Audio Story (25 points)

This feedback will be handled securely. Scores and comments, without identifiers, will be shared with the student being evaluated. Yet that will not be done directly, and your confidentiality will be preserved as much as possible. Please be honest, accurate and provide factual justifications for your scores. Up to five points will be awarded in each category. That will be determined by an average of peer feedback plus instructor discretion, for a possible total of 25. Numbers will be rounded up at each 0.5, so an average of 3.5, will earn a 4, and the average of 3.49 will earn a 3.

## Evaluation of:

| -     |              | Total: |
|-------|--------------|--------|
| By:   |              | / 25   |
| Date: | _ Signature: | / 25   |

### Dependable and dedicated

1. How dependable was this person? Did this person meet all deadlines? Did this person show up on time and stay on task?

| 5   | 4  | 3  | 2  | 1   | 0         |
|---|--|--|--|---|-----------|
| Did<br>everything<br>promised and<br>with purpose | Did most of<br>what said<br>would do and<br>stayed on target | Fairly depend-<br>able and paid<br>attention some-<br>what | Did some<br>things, not all,<br>and wasn't very<br>focused | Didn't do much<br>and didn't pay<br>attention often | A no show |
| <b>a</b> .  |  |  |  |   |           |

#### Comments:

#### Active participator

2. Was this person engaged in the project? Was this person enthusiastic on all of the levels needed for this project to be a success?

| 5   | 4                                   | 3                      | 2                   | 1              | 0            |  |
|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------|--|
| Took on leader-<br>ship tasks and<br>generated high<br>energy | Worked many<br>hours, with<br>gusto | Did what was<br>needed | Managed the minimum | Didn't do much | Couch potato |  |

Comments:

#### Cooperative

3. Was this person easy to work with? Or difficult? Supportive of synergy? Or lone wolf?

| 5  | 4  | 3   | 2   | 1                         | 0          |
|--|--|---|---|---------------------------|------------|
| Brought out the<br>best in every-<br>one | Good facilitator<br>of ideas and<br>played well<br>with others | Solid, not a<br>bully and not a<br>bother | Disengaged<br>when ideas of<br>others were<br>adopted | My way, or the<br>highway | Impossible |

Comments:

#### Creative

4. Did this person generate many fabulous ideas? Did this person take "good enough" and turn it into "wow!"

| 5                            | 4   | 3  | 2  | 1                    | 0             |
|------------------------------|---|--|--|----------------------|---------------|
| Made every-<br>thing sparkle | Some of the<br>best ideas came<br>from this per-<br>son | Had a few<br>decent ideas<br>and suggestions | Maybe one or<br>two things<br>came from this<br>person | Not much to<br>offer | Stick figures |
| Commonta                     |   |  |  |                      |               |

#### Comments:

### **Quality control**

5. How did this person affect the overall quality of your team's work? From understanding the issues to research to execution?

| 5   | 4   | 3  | 2           | 1        | 0                             |
|---|---|--|-------------|----------|-------------------------------|
| Inspired us to<br>an extremely<br>high standard | Kept close<br>watch on the<br>details, design<br>and finish | Felt pretty sat-<br>isfied about the<br>project, in the<br>end | Eh, not bad | Whatever | Someone else<br>will catch it |
| _   |   |  |             |          |                               |

Comments: